
Neutrino Telescopes

What is the probability that θ_{13} and CP violation will be discovered in future experiments?

Thomas Schwetz

CERN

based on hep-ph/0612223, PLB (to appear)

This talk deals with the question:

How to quantify the sensitivity
of future experiments?

I will answer this question in terms of well defined statistical statements in a frequentist framework, and apply these methods to the neutrino oscillation experiments Double-Chooz, T2K, and T2HK.

Outline

- Introduction
 - Remarks on the “standard” way to compute sensitivities
- Generalized definition of sensitivities
- The sensitivity to θ_{13}
 - Monte Carlo simulation of D-Chooz and T2K
- Sensitivity to CP violation
 - at the example of T2HK
- Summary

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities

Calculation of event rates for given experiment:

$$N_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Phi \cdot \sigma \cdot R \cdot \epsilon \cdot P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Φ : neutrino flux

σ : detection cross section

R : energy resolution

ϵ : efficiencies

$P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$: 3-flavour osc. prob., $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\Delta m_{21}^2, \Delta m_{31}^2, \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}, \delta)$

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities

Calculation of event rates for given experiment:

$$N_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Phi \cdot \sigma \cdot R \cdot \epsilon \cdot P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Φ : neutrino flux

σ : detection cross section

R : energy resolution

ϵ : efficiencies

$P(\boldsymbol{\theta})$: 3-flavour osc. prob., $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\Delta m_{21}^2, \Delta m_{31}^2, \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \theta_{13}, \delta)$

assume “true values” $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ and

calculate “data” for these true values: $\hat{N}_i = N_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$

$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \rightarrow$ allowed regions for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities

Example: Sensitivity to θ_{13} at 3σ :

Looking for the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at 3σ :

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13} = 0; \hat{\theta}_{13} = \theta_{13}^{\text{true}}) = 9$$

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities

Example: Sensitivity to θ_{13} at 3σ :

Looking for the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at 3σ :

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13} = 0; \hat{\theta}_{13} = \theta_{13}^{\text{true}}) = 9$$

- Hundreds of papers are based on this method
- This is the default method used in GLoBES

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities

What is the precise statistical meaning of such sensitivities?

The “standard” way to calculate sensitivities


What is the precise statistical meaning of such sensitivities?

- Statistical fluctuations are not taken into account, $\chi^2 = 0$ at the best fit point \equiv true values
- Gaussian approximation for calculating allowed regions, e.g., $\Delta\chi^2 = 9$ for a 3σ intervall

The impact of statistical fluctuations


Example: **T2K**, true $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.02$, $\delta = \pi$:

GLoBES will give you:



→ Sensitivity of an “average” experiment

The impact of statistical fluctuations



Generalized definition of sensitivity

Generalized definition of sensitivity

The correct question to ask should be:

What is the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL with a probability P_{disc} ?

Generalized definition of sensitivity

The correct question to ask should be:

What is the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL with a probability P_{disc} ?

- have to quote two numbers, α (CL) and P_{disc}
- at fixed $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, decreasing α (incr. the CL) decreases P_{disc}
at fixed α (fixed CL), decreasing $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$ decreases P_{disc}

Generalized definition of sensitivity

The correct question to ask should be:

What is the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL with a probability P_{disc} ?

- have to quote two numbers, α (CL) and P_{disc}
- at fixed $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, decreasing α (incr. the CL) decreases P_{disc}
at fixed α (fixed CL), decreasing $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$ decreases P_{disc}
- the implicit assumption for the “standard” sensitivity is that using data without fluctuations describes an “average” experiment, which should correspond to $P_{\text{disc}} = 50\%$.

Generalized definition of sensitivity

The correct question to ask should be:

What is the value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, for which $\theta_{13} = 0$ can be excluded at the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL with a probability P_{disc} ?

- have to quote two numbers, α (CL) and P_{disc}
- at fixed $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$, decreasing α (incr. the CL) decreases P_{disc}
at fixed α (fixed CL), decreasing $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$ decreases P_{disc}
- the implicit assumption for the “standard” sensitivity is that using data without fluctuations describes an “average” experiment, which should correspond to $P_{\text{disc}} = 50\%$.
- “Good” sensitivity means high CL and high P_{disc} .

Remark on hypothesis testing

“Statistics language”:

In testing a hypothesis H_0 we can make two kinds of errors:

- Error of type 1: reject H_0 although it is true
- Error of type 2: accept H_0 although it is false

Remark on hypothesis testing

“Statistics language”:

In testing a hypothesis H_0 we can make two kinds of errors:

- **Error of type 1:** reject H_0 although it is true
- **Error of type 2:** accept H_0 although it is false

In our case: $H_0: \theta_{13} = 0$

- **Type 1:** “discover” non-zero θ_{13} although the true value is 0.
- **Type 2:** accept $\theta_{13} = 0$ although the true value is non-zero.

Remark on hypothesis testing

“Statistics language”:

In testing a hypothesis H_0 we can make two kinds of errors:

- Error of type 1: reject H_0 although it is true
- Error of type 2: accept H_0 although it is false

In our case: $H_0: \theta_{13} = 0$

- Type 1: “discover” non-zero θ_{13} although the true value is 0.
- Type 2: accept $\theta_{13} = 0$ although the true value is non-zero.

The probability to make an error of type 1 is α

per definition of a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL intervall

The probability to make an error of type 2 is $(1 - P_{\text{disc}})$

Generalized definition of sensitivity

Example: Measurement of a Gaussian variable:

- Assume x is a Gaussian variable, which can be measured in an experiment with Standard Deviation σ .

Generalized definition of sensitivity

Example: Measurement of a Gaussian variable:

- Assume x is a Gaussian variable, which can be measured in an experiment with Standard Deviation σ .
- Let us denote the result of the experiment by x^{obs} .
Then, $x > 0$ is discovered at 3σ if $x^{\text{obs}} > 3\sigma$.

Generalized definition of sensitivity


Example: Measurement of a Gaussian variable:

- Assume x is a Gaussian variable, which can be measured in an experiment with Standard Deviation σ .
- Let us denote the result of the experiment by x^{obs} .
Then, $x > 0$ is discovered at 3σ if $x^{\text{obs}} > 3\sigma$.
- As a function of the true value x^{true} the probability to discover $x > 0$ at 3σ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\text{disc}} &= P \left[x^{\text{obs}} \geq 3\sigma \mid x^{\text{true}} \right] = \int_{3\sigma}^{\infty} dx G(x; x^{\text{true}}, \sigma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \text{erf} \left(\frac{3\sigma - x^{\text{true}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Generalized definition of sensitivity

Example: Measurement of a Gaussian variable:



Generalized definition of sensitivity

To answer this question in realistic situations it is necessary to perform a Monte Carlo simulation, i.e., simulate many artificial data sets for a given experiment, including statistical fluctuations:

Calculate prediction $N_i(\hat{\theta})$ for some “true values” $\hat{\theta}$.

The “data” D_i , which go into the χ^2 are obtained by throwing a Poisson variable with mean $N_i(\hat{\theta})$.

Generalized definition of sensitivity

To answer this question in realistic situations it is necessary to perform a Monte Carlo simulation, i.e., simulate many artificial data sets for a given experiment, including statistical fluctuations:

Calculate prediction $N_i(\hat{\theta})$ for some “true values” $\hat{\theta}$.

The “data” D_i , which go into the χ^2 are obtained by throwing a Poisson variable with mean $N_i(\hat{\theta})$.

Wrote a speed optimized code for D-Chooz, T2K, and T2HK, cross-checked the “standard sensitivities” with LOIs and GLoBES.

Generalized sensitivity to θ_{13} for D-Chooz and T2K

Sensitivity to θ_{13}

First, define a criterion to “discover” $\theta_{13} > 0$:


$$\chi^2(\theta_{13} = 0) - \chi_{\min}^2 \equiv \Delta\chi^2 > \lambda(\alpha)$$

Calculation of $\lambda(\alpha)$:

- Set $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}} = 0$, simulate many experiments, and calculate for each experiment $\Delta\chi^2$.
- Determine the value $\lambda(\alpha)$ by requiring that a fraction α of all experiments has $\Delta\chi^2 > \lambda(\alpha)$.

Sensitivity to θ_{13}

Calculation of $\lambda(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 0.0027$:



$$\lambda(\alpha)_{\text{T2K}} = 7.55$$

$$\lambda(\alpha)_{\text{DC}} = 8.23$$

$$\lambda(\alpha)_{\text{Gauss}} = 9$$


Sensitivity to θ_{13}

Second, calculation of P_{disc} :

- Set $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}} > 0$, simulate many experiments, and calculate for each experiment $\Delta\chi^2$.
- P_{disc} for the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL is given by the fraction of experiments which have $\Delta\chi^2 > \lambda(\alpha)$.
- Repeat this for each value of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$.


Sensitivity to θ_{13}

Calculation of P_{disc} at a given true value of θ_{13} :




$$P_{\text{disc}}(\text{T2K}) = 29\%$$
$$P_{\text{disc}}(\text{DC}) = 9.7\%$$

Sensitivity to θ_{13}



dashed: Gaussian approximation

Sensitivity to θ_{13}



dashed: “standard sensitivity”

Sensitivity to θ_{13}

Side-remark:

For this plot

$$41 \times 41 \times 10^5 \approx 1.7 \times 10^8$$

fits have been performed \Rightarrow

Total calculation time:

$$T \simeq 2 \text{ days} \times \left(\frac{\text{time for one } \chi^2 \text{ minimisation}}{10^{-3} \text{ sec}} \right)$$

Generalized sensitivity to CPV for T2HK

Generalized sensitivity to CPV for T2HK

For given values of $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}$ and δ^{true} , what is the probability P_{disc} that CPV can be established at the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL?

Sensitivity to CPV

“Standard” sensitivity to CPV at 3σ :

Scan true values $\hat{\theta}_{13}$ and $\hat{\delta}$, and check whether

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}}; \hat{\theta}_{13}, \hat{\delta}) > 9 \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{CPC}} = 0, \pi$$

Sensitivity to CPV

“Standard” sensitivity to CPV at 3σ :

Scan true values $\hat{\theta}_{13}$ and $\hat{\delta}$, and check whether

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}}; \hat{\theta}_{13}, \hat{\delta}) > 9 \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{CPC}} = 0, \pi$$


Now:

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}}) - \chi_{\text{min}}^2 > \lambda(\alpha; \theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}})$$

The cut-value λ depends on the parameter values!

Sensitivity to CPV

$\lambda(\alpha; \theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}})$ for the 99% CL ($\alpha = 0.01$) for T2HK:



Sensitivity to CPV

Calculation of P_{disc} :


- Fix $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}, \delta^{\text{true}}$ and simulate many experiments.
- P_{disc} for the $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CL is given by the fraction of experiments for which

$$\chi^2(\theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}}) - \chi_{\text{min}}^2 > \lambda(\alpha; \theta_{13}, \delta_{\text{CPC}})$$

for all values of θ_{13} .

- Repeat this for each point in the $\theta_{13}^{\text{true}}, \delta^{\text{true}}$ -plane.

Sensitivity to CPV



dashed: "standard" sensitivity

Summary

Summary

- To estimate the sensitivity of future experiments to a given true value of a parameter one should quote two numbers: the **CL** at which we want to make the discovery, and the probability P_{disc} that we actually will make this discovery.

Summary

- To estimate the sensitivity of future experiments to a given true value of a parameter one should quote two numbers: the **CL** at which we want to make the discovery, and the probability P_{disc} that we actually will make this discovery.
- For DC, T2K, and T2HK I have checked by explicit MC simulation that “standard sensitivities” correspond roughly to an “average” experiment with $P_{\text{disc}} \approx 50\%$.

Summary

- To estimate the sensitivity of future experiments to a given true value of a parameter one should quote two numbers: the **CL** at which we want to make the discovery, and the probability P_{disc} that we actually will make this discovery.
- For DC, T2K, and T2HK I have checked by explicit MC simulation that “standard sensitivities” correspond roughly to an “average” experiment with $P_{\text{disc}} \approx 50\%$.
- It makes sense to quote “standard sensitivities”, however, one has to be aware of the correct interpretation: The regions with high P_{disc} can be much smaller!

Summary

- To estimate the sensitivity of future experiments to a given true value of a parameter one should quote two numbers: the **CL** at which we want to make the discovery, and the probability P_{disc} that we actually will make this discovery.
- For DC, T2K, and T2HK I have checked by explicit MC simulation that “standard sensitivities” correspond roughly to an “average” experiment with $P_{\text{disc}} \approx 50\%$.
- It makes sense to quote “standard sensitivities”, however, one has to be aware of the correct interpretation: The regions with high P_{disc} can be much smaller!

Thanks for your attention!