

①

IMPLICATION OF NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS FOR GAUGE UNIFICATION VENICE 7 MARCH 2001

F. BUCCELLA

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE FISICHE
UNIVERSITA' DI NAPOLI, FEDERICO II

- 1) PRESENT STATUS OF NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS
- 2) THE $SO(10)$ UNIFIED THEORY WITH INTERMEDIATE SYMMETRY $SU(4)_{PS} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$
- 3) SEE-SAW MECHANISM WITH A "NATURAL" M^R
- 4) CONCLUSIONS

M. ABUO . . . O. PISANTI . . .
L. ROSA . . . A. SCIARRINO

②

NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS,
INVENTED ALMOST HALF CENTURY
AGO BY BRUNO PONTECORVO,
ARE ADVOCATED TO EXPLAIN
ATMOSPHERIC AND SOLAR
NEUTRINO PHENOMENA WITH

$$\Delta m_a^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ (eV)}^2$$

AND MAXIMAL $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ MIXING
AND AN UPPER LIMIT FOR
 ν_e CONTENT BY CHOOZ

$$|U_{e3}|^2 < .05 \quad \text{AND}$$

$$\Delta m_s^2 \approx 2.7 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ (eV)}^2$$

AND LARGE MIXING ANGLE FOR
THE ACTUALLY FAVOURED
LOW SOLUTION

IN CONCLUSION A MIXING ^③
 MATRIX NOT FAR FROM THE
 BIMAXIMAL FORM

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{2} & +\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

PROPOSED BY GEORGI AND GLASHOW

THE KNOWLEDGE OF 3 MIXING
 ANGLES (FOR SIMPLICITY WE ASSUME CP)
 AND OF 2 Δm^2 IS NOT
 SUFFICIENT TO DETERMINE THE
 EFFECTIVE MAJORANA MASS MATRIX
 OF ν_L 's, WHICH NEEDS THE
 KNOWLEDGE OF $|m_1|$ AND OF THE
RELATIVE SIGNS OF THE m_i 's

THE QUANTUM NUMBERS WITH RESPECT TO

$$G = SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$$

OF A FAMILY OF FUNDAMENTAL FERMIONS ARE A STRONG HINT FOR GAUGE UNIFICATION

T_2 Y T_2 Y^2 T_2 T_3^2 T_2 T_3^2

$(3, 2, +\frac{1}{6})$	+1	$+\frac{1}{6}$	$+\frac{3}{2}$	1
$(\bar{3}, 1, -\frac{2}{3})$	-2 = 0	$+\frac{4}{3} = \frac{5}{2}$	0 = $\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$
$(1, 1, +1)$	+1	+1	0	0
$(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$	-1	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	0
$(\bar{3}, 1, +\frac{1}{3})$	+1 = 0	$+\frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{6}$	0 = $\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$

THE STATES ARE GROUPED IN A $10 + \bar{5}$ REPRESENTATION OF $SU(5)$

⑤
THE EXTENSION TO $SO(10)$
REQUIRES THE EXISTENCE OF
 \bar{V}^L 's SINCE
 $16 \rightarrow 10 + \bar{5} + 1$

A $SO(10)$ THEORY WITH THE
SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING
PATTERN

$$SO(10) \xrightarrow[M_x]{210} SU(4)_{PS} \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$$
$$\xrightarrow[M_R]{126} G \equiv SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$$

IMPLIES

$$M_x = 4.7 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV} > 1.6 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$$

$$M_R = 2.8 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$$

AND M_R , THE SCALE OF
SPONTANEOUS SYMMETRY BREAKING
OF $B-L$ IS RELATED TO THE
VALUES OF THE MATRIX ELEMENTS
OF THE MAJORANA MASS MATRIX OF V_R 's

THE SEE-SAW FORMULA

6

$$M^L = -M_D^T (M^R)^{-1} M_D$$

GIVES THE MASS MATRIX OF THE V_L 'S IN TERMS OF THE DIRAC NEUTRINO MASS MATRIX AND THE MAJORANA MASS MATRIX OF THE V_R 's

IN SO(10) WITH ONLY ONE 10 FOR THE ELECTRO-WEAK HIGGS ONE SHOULD FIND AT M_x

$$m_e = m_\mu = m_\tau = m_{\nu_e}^D$$

AND A TRIVIAL CKM MATRIX AND M_D

WITH TWO OR MORE 10 ONE SHOULD FIND $m_e = m_\tau$

$m_e = m_{\nu_e}^D$ AND M_D EQUAL TO THE CKM MATRIX

BY TAKING

(4)

$$m_0 = \frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \text{diag}(m_\mu, m_c, m_e)$$

AND A ZEE-LIKE MATRIX FOR

$$M_R \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho & \nu \\ \rho & 0 & \mu \\ \nu & \mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

WE FIND ALMOST OPPOSITE VALUES FOR THE TWO SMALLEST m_i :

$$m_1 + m_2 = - \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_3}$$

BY REQUIRING MAXIMAL MIXING FOR $V_M - V_E$ WE FIND ALMOST THE BINAXIAL MIXING MATRIX PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED

THE HIGHEST MATRIX ELEMENT OF

$$M^R \text{ IS } (M^R)_{23} = \left(\frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \right)^2 \frac{m_c m_e}{\sqrt{4m_c^2}} \approx 3 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$$

IN GOOD AGREEMENT WITH THE SCALE OF B-L SPONTANEOUS BREAKING OF THE SO(10) THEORY PREVIOUSLY DISCUSSED

②

SIMILAR PROPERTIES, ALMOST BIMAXIMAL MIXING, QUASI OPPOSITE VALUES OF THE LIGHTEST NEUTRINO MASSES AND MODERATE VALUES FOR THE HIGHEST MATRIX ELEMENT OF M_R ARE FOUND IN OTHER SO(10) SEE-SAW MODELS

(JEZABECK AND SUNINO, STECH)

THE TWO LAST PROPERTIES ARE RELATED

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Det}(M_R)| &= \left(\frac{m_x}{m_G}\right)^6 \frac{m_u^2 m_c^2 m_e^2}{|m_1 m_2 m_3|} \\ &= \frac{\Delta m_s^2}{|m_1 m_2|} 4 \cdot 10^{30} (\text{GeV})^3 \end{aligned}$$

TO UNDERSTAND THE SOURCE OF THESE PROPERTIES WE HAVE CONSIDERED THE INVERSE SEE-SAW FORMULA

$$M^R = -M^D (M^L)^{-1} M_T^D \quad (9)$$

WITH A DIAGONAL M^D

$$M^D = \frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \text{diag}(m_\mu, m_c, m_t)$$

AND BIMAXIMAL MIXING FOR M^L

WE GET

$$(M^R)_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau m_\mu}{m_e} \right)^2$$

$$(M^R)_{12} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \right)^2 m_\mu m_c$$

$$(M^R)_{13} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau m_c}{m_e} \right)^2 m_\mu m_t$$

$$(M^R)_{22} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{2}{m_3} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau m_c}{m_e} \right)^2$$

$$(M^R)_{23} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{2}{m_3} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau}{m_e} \right)^2 m_c m_t$$

$$(M^R)_{33} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{2}{m_3} \right) \left(\frac{m_\tau m_t}{m_e} \right)^2$$

TO AVOID

10

$$\frac{(M^R)_{11}}{(M^R)_{33}} \approx \frac{2m_m^2}{m_e^2} \approx 1.3 \cdot 10^{-9}$$

WE TAKE

$$\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{2}{m_3} = 0$$

AND GET FOR THE LARGEST
MATRIX ELEMENT OF M^R

$$\left| (M^R)_{23} \right| = \left(\frac{m_m}{m_e} \right)^2 \frac{m_e m_e}{m_3} \approx 9 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$$

CONCLUSIONS

71

1) THE FREEDOM LEFT BY THE STUDY OF NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS IN THE DETERMINATION OF M^L CAN BE USED TO REQUIRE A "NATURAL" M^R

2) WITH A DIAGONAL m_ν^D AND A BIMAXIMAL MIXING MATRIX FOR V^L , THIS PROPERTY IS OBTAINED WITH $M_{33}^R = 0$ AND WITH THE LARGEST MATRIX ELEMENT OF M^R , $(M^R)_{23} \approx 9 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$ IN GOOD AGREEMENT WITH THE SCALE OF $B-L$ SYMMETRY BREAKING FOUND IN THE $SO(10)$ THEORY WITH PATI-SALAM INTERMEDIATE SYMMETRY