



PVLAS

Guido Zavattini, Università di Ferrara and INFN-Ferrara



"<u>Hands holding the void</u>" Alberto Giacometti



Summary



Experimental aim and technique
Published experimental results
Discussion - comments - criticisms
What questions can be answered
Physics results





Theme



• <u>Theme</u>

- Vacuum is a physical state and can be treated as a "material medium"
- Perturb the vacuum with an external magnetic field
- Use a polarized light beam as a probe to measure the effect of the magnetic field
- Extract information about the structure of vacuum
 - QED interactions
 - other interactions?
- <u>Aim</u>
 - Macroscopic properties can be deduced from effective lagrangians
 - Measure the magnetically induced <u>linear birefringence</u> and <u>linear dichroism (optical</u> <u>rotation</u>) of vacuum

+ diagrams of order higher than α^2

Possible contributions to macroscopic properties

Bext

x s φ s

S Bext

- photon-photon scattering _
- photon splitting
- production of:
 - neutral bosons
 - mcp



Linear Birefringence

- A birefringent medium has n_{||} ≠ n_⊥
- A linearly polarised light beam propagating through a birefringent medium will acquire an <u>ellipticity</u> Ψ





Linear Dichroism



- A dichroic medium has a selective absorption of a polarization component
- A linearly polarised light beam propagating through a dichroic medium will acquire a (apparent) rotation ε

$$\varepsilon = \left(\frac{1-q}{2}\right)\sin 2\vartheta$$

G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007









$$A_e = \frac{1}{90\pi} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda_e^3}{m_e c^2} \right) \approx \frac{4}{3} \cdot 10^{-32} \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{erg}}$$

W Heisenberg and H Euler, Z. Phys. **98**, 714 (1936) H Euler, Ann. Phys. **26**, 398 (1936)

- Light propagation through magnetized vacuum is still described by Maxwell's equations in matter. They are no longer linear.
- Linearly polarized light passing through a transverse magnetic field B₀ will acquire an ellipticity due to magnetically induced birefringence
- Adler (1971) also calculated photon splitting







First experimental scheme to measure magnetically induced vacuum birefringence with ellipsometric techniqes Volume 85B, number 1 30 July 1979 PHYSICS LETTERS EXPERIMENTAL METHOD TO DETECT THE VACUUM BIREFRINGENCE INDUCED BY A MAGNETIC FIELD E. IACOPINI and E. ZAVATTINI CERN, Geneva, Switzerland Received 28 May 1979 In this letter a method of measuring the birefringence induced in vacuum by a magnetic field is described: this effect is evaluated using the non-linear Euler-Heisenberg-Weisskopf lagrangian. The optical apparatus discussed here may detect an induced ellipticity on a laser beam down to 10-11. Volume 85B, number 1 PHYSICS LETTERS 30 July 1979 Optical cavity Photomultiplier Laser Polarizer Faraday Quarter wave Photodiode plate cell FC QW ОC PM I R NI N2 Synchronous Detector Modulation

 Modulation Amplifier
 Signal

 I KH z clock generator
 Analysis

Fig. 3. Principle elements of the experimental apparatus.

G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007



Photon splitting



FIG. 1. Ring diagram for photon splitting involving 2n+1 interactions with the external field.

$$\kappa[(\|) \to (\|)_{1} + (\|)_{2}] = \frac{\alpha^{3}}{60\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{48}{315}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right)^{5} \left(\frac{\overline{B}\sin\theta}{B_{cr}}\right)^{6} m = 0.39 \left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right)^{5} \left(\frac{\overline{B}\sin\theta}{B_{cr}}\right)^{6} \text{ cm}^{-1},$$

$$\kappa[(\|) \to (\bot)_{1} + (\bot)_{2}] = \frac{\alpha^{3}}{60\pi^{2}} \left(\frac{26}{315}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right)^{5} \left(\frac{\overline{B}\sin\theta}{B_{cr}}\right)^{6} m = 0.12 \left(\frac{\omega}{m}\right)^{5} \left(\frac{\overline{B}\sin\theta}{B_{cr}}\right)^{6} \text{ cm}^{-1},$$

$$\kappa[(\bot) \to (\|)_{1} + (\bot)_{2}] + \kappa[(\bot) \to (\bot)_{1} + (\|)_{2}] = 2\kappa[(\|) \to (\bot)_{1} + (\bot)_{1}].$$

With B=5.5T and $\omega/m = 1/511000$ one finds $\Delta k \approx 6 \cdot 10^{-83}$ cm⁻¹ With L_{eff} = 60 km => Dichroism induced rotation $\approx 2 \cdot 10^{-76}$ rad





Particle induced dichroism and ellipticity

[Maiani, Petronzio and Zavattini, Phys. Lett B, 175, no. 3 (1986)]

In this example there is an interaction if $B_{Ext} \parallel E$











In practice, nearly static rotations/ellipticities α_s generate a 1/f noise around ω_{SOM} .





Particle production



• Main parameters of the apparatus

- magnet
 - dipole, 5.5 T, temp. 4.2 K, 1 m field zone
- cryostat
 - rotation frequency ~300 mHz, sliding contacts, warm bore to allow light propagation in the interaction zone
- laser
 - 1064 nm, 100 mW, frequency-locked to the F.-P. cavity
 - Fabry-Perot optical cavity
 - 6.4 m length, finesse ~100000, optical path in the interaction region ~ 60 km
- heterodyne ellipsometer
 - ellipticity modulator (SOM) and high extinction (~10⁻⁷) crossed polarisers + Quarter Wave Plate (QWP)
 - time-modulation of the effect
- detection chain
 - photodiode with low-noise amplifier
- DAQ
 - Slow: demodulated at low frequency and phaselocked to the magnetic field instantaneous direction
 - Fast: high sampling frequency direct acquisition



G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007





PVLAS: Schematic drawing

The granite tower (blue in the drawing) supports the upper optical bench and is mechanically isolated from the hall (in green)

The turntable, holding the magnet, rests on a beam fixed to the floor of the hall (green in the drawing)







Optical benches



Lower optical bench



Quartz tube Polarizer First mirron



Upper optical bench

Photodiode

Analyzer Modulator

Top mirror

G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007

Gas phase and amplitude calibration



- Signal amplitudes given by the ellipsometer can be checked by measuring the magnetic birefringence (Cotton-Mouton effect) of gases
- Signal phases are checked by plotting data in a phase-ampitude polar plane: points corresponding to different gas pressures must lie on a straight line



The expected signal (magnetic birefringence of a gas in this case) appears at twice the magnet rotation frequency (here 0.6 Hz)



Data points (taken at several pressure values <mbar for N₂, 1-20 mbar for Ne) align along a straight line determined by the apparatus geometry and by the position of the initial polarisation

Vacuum rotation measurements (amplitude)



- Signal observed in Vacuo with B ≠ 0 and cavity present
- Data clusters in polar plane change sign under a QWP axis exchange
- The average rotation vector lies along the physical axis

INFN

The signal corresponds to a "true" rotation (dichroism) with amplitude ± 3σ (3.9±0.5)×10⁻¹² rad/pass





Discussion I



QED vacuum birefringence

- Expected signal @ 5.5 T and 50000 passes: 2.10-11
- <u>Observed</u> ellipticity signal
 (3.0±0.9)·10⁻⁷ @ 532nm; (1.7±0.5)·10⁻⁷ @ 1064nm
- 4 orders of magnitude larger than expected and with wrong sign
- Possibile QED-QCD interference?
 (J. Rafelski in "Frontier Tests of QED and Physics of the Vacuum" E. Zavattini, D. Bakalov, C. Rizzo Eds. Heron Press, Sofia, 1998)

Photon Splitting induced dichroism

- Theoretically expected rotation: $\approx 10^{-76}$ rad!
- <u>Observed</u> dichroism induced rotation signal (3.2±1.5)·10⁻⁷ @ 532nm; (2.0±0.3)·10⁻⁷ @ 1064nm
- <u>Directly</u> tried to detected photons from 532 nm splitting: noise level
 200 times below expected value (assuming 3.2.10⁻⁷ rad rotation)

Discussion II



Photon-boson oscillation

- Model predicts both <u>ellipticity</u> and <u>rotation</u> (same signs)
- Observed **both** phenomena => mass and coupling
- Deduced mass in allowed range: 1 meV
- Does not contradict BFRT experiment
- Coupling constant ($\approx 4.10^5$ GeV) much too large: > 4 orders
 - of magnitude compared to limits from CAST
- Measured parity from ellipticity and rotation are inconsistent

Millicharged Particle model

Observed both predicted effects

M. Ahlers et al. hep-ph/0612098 $n_{\parallel} > n_{\perp}$ $n_{\parallel} < n_{\perp}$					1064nm	532nm
$\pi_{\parallel} > \pi_{\perp}$	ALP 0^- or MCP $\frac{1}{2}$ (small χ)	$MCP \frac{1}{2} (large \chi)$		Dic 10 ⁻¹² rad/pass; 30	? 3.9±0.5	+ 6.3±3.1
$\pi_{\parallel} < \pi_{\perp}$	MCP 0 (large χ)	ALP 0^+ or MCP 0 (small χ)		Ell	-	-
Zavattini – PV	'LAS Collaboration - Vene	zia 8/3/2007	10-12/pass; 30	3.4±0.9	6.0±1.8	



Criticisms/Problems



- Dichroism measurement is a disappearance measurement prone to systematics
- \odot A signal is also present a $1\Omega_{mag}$ and is still to be understood
- Data are not as clean as desired
- \odot Our signal at $2\Omega_{mag}$ is NOT directly generated by the $1\Omega_{mag}$ signal
- NO DIRECT artifact explanation for either peaks at $1\Omega_{mag}$ or $2\Omega_{mag}$ but both are due to the presence of the cavity
- We are working on INDIRECT effects from fringe fields present when running with fields above 2.5 T



Physics strategy



Appearance measurements

- Ø Particle regeneration
- Photon splitting detection

Systematics: try to eliminate peaks and reduce noise

- Reduce fringe field
 - Active field compensation on mirrors
 - Run at 2.5 T
 - Turn to permanent magnets: 3 meters, 2.3 T

 Use fiber optics to move laser and feedback circuit far from magnet

Interesting physics results

- Ø Direct photon splitting limit
- Photon photon scattering limit

Field compensation





Most sensitive elements to a magnetic field are the cavity mirrors: lab measurements

Generate field to study effects of field on mirrors with cavity

The second seco

Field sensor very near mirrors allows feedback system to compensate dynamically the stray field on the mirrors

Regeneration





Photon regeneration plans:

24

Name	Place	Laser	Flux of initial γ 's	Magnets	$P_{\gamma\phi\gamma} _{(g,m_{\phi})_{\text{PVLAS}}}$
PVLAS	Legnaro/I	$\lambda = 1064$ nm, $\omega = 1.17$ eV P = 20 - 800 mW, cw $N_r = 5 \times 10^5$	$3 imes 10^{22}/{ m s}$ - $1 imes 10^{24}/{ m s}$	$B_1 = 5 \text{ T}$ $l_1 = 1 \text{ m}$ $B_2 = 2.2 \text{ T}$ $l_2 = 0.5 \text{ m}$	$\sim 10^{-23}$
LIPSS	Jlab/USA	$\lambda=900$ nm, $\omega=1.38$ eV P=3-10 kW, cw $N_r=0$	$1 imes 10^{22}/{ m s}$ - $5 imes 10^{22}/{ m s}$	B = 1.7 T $l = 1 m$	$\sim 10^{-23.5}$
ALPS	DESY/D	$\lambda = 1064 \text{ nm}, \omega = 1.17 \text{ eV}$ P = 1 kW, cw $N_r = 0$	$1 imes 10^{22}/{ m s}$	B = 5 T l = 4.21 m	$\sim 10^{-19}$
BMV	LULI/F	$\lambda = 1053$ nm, $\omega = 1.18$ eV 4 pulses of 1500 J/day $N_r = 0$	$8 imes 10^{21} / { m pulse}$	B = 11 T $l = 0.25 m$	$\sim 10^{-21}$
APFEL	DESY/D	$\lambda=32$ nm, $\omega=38.7$ eV $8 imes10^3$ pulses of 50 μ J/sec $N_r=0$	$8 imes 10^{12} / { m pulse}$	B = 2.24 T $l = 6 m$	$\sim 10^{-19.5}$
????	CERN/CH	$\lambda=1064$ nm, $\omega=1.17~{\rm eV}$ $P=1~{\rm kW},$ cw $N_r=0$	$1 imes 10^{22}/{ m s}$	B = 9.6 T $l = 7 m$	$\sim 10^{-17}$

A. Ringwald (DESY)

IDM 2006, Rhodes, Greece

G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007





Verify particle interpretation

Need an APPEARANCE measurement



G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007

Rates and measurement times



Scenario

- 1064 nm laser, ~60 mW at FP output
- 1 m, 5.5 T superconducting magnet for <u>production</u>
- 42 cm, 2.3 T permanent magnet for regeneration
- particle parameters
 M = 3.8.105 GeV
 m = 1.1 meV



regeneration rate ~ 0.33 ph/s assume: 0.01 Hz background, 0.3 efficiency <u>Measuring time with TES to have SNR = 1: ~ 10 s</u>

G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007





TES detector



- Transition Edge Sensor
 - ø works as a bolometer
 - cryogenic temperatures
 (~100 mK)
 - ø potentially no background
 - spectroscopic ability
- Photon transport
 - fiber optic
 - 1064 nm interferential filter
- TES developed and provided by Genova INFN group led by F. Gatti







Rivelatore TES per PVLAS

(Genova group - F. Gatti)

- Detector size (25 μ m×25 μ m)
- Characterized at low temperature
- Tests are beginning with photons





Some physics bounds



Photon splitting

Measured rotation: $\approx 2.10^{-7}$

Escaping fraction of power: 10⁻⁷

Highest probability is to split into two 1064 nm photons

With a gain of $6.5 \cdot 10^8$ V/A we expected a \approx 20 mV peak at $2\Omega_{mag}$



G. Zavattini - PVLAS Collaboration - Venezia 8/3/2007



Light by light scattering Very low energy photon-photon scattering is proportional to Ae²

$$\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}^{[*]} = \frac{1}{(45)^2} \frac{973}{5} \frac{\alpha^4}{\pi} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{m_e c^2}\right)^6 \left(\frac{\hbar}{m_e c}\right)^2 = \frac{4\pi}{5} 973 E_{\gamma}^2 k_{\gamma}^4 A_e^2$$

For E_Y = 1.17 eV (1064nm) this predicts a value of σ_{YY} = 1.9·10⁻⁶⁵ cm² Experimentally Bernard et al.^[*] have published σ_{YY} < 1.5·10⁻⁴⁸ cm² @ 0.8 eV

Assuming our ellipticity result is systematic: $\Delta n = 3A_eB_0^2 < 1.5 \cdot 10^{-18}$ $A_e < 1.7 \cdot 10^{-28} \text{ erg/cm}^3$

 $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ < 2.7.10⁻⁵⁷ cm² @ 1064 nm



Conclusions



- We have given a clear answer that our signal is NOT photon splitting (very recent paper suggests different splitting mechanism: E. Gabrielli et al. hep-ph/0702197)
- We will try do give a clear answer to the question: Are we generating an unknown particle?
- A regeneration (APPEARANCE) measurement is underway
- We are still working on systematics:
 INDIRECT fringe field effects